RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Remarks:

APR 0 6 2007

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 - 22 are presently pending in the application. As it is believed that the claims were patentable over the cited art in their original form, the claims have not been amended to overcome the references.

On page 2 of the above-identified Office Action, claims 1 - 5, 7 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 5,532,427 to Stoyko ("STOYKO").

On page 4 of the Office Action, claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over STOYKO in view of U. S. Patent No. 3,885,084 to Kaiserwerth et al ("KAISERWERTH"). Additionally on page 4 of the Office Action, claims 8 - 18 and 20 - 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over STOYKO in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,194,653 to McMiller et al ("MCMILLER").

Applicants respectfully traverse the above rejections.

More particularly, Applicants' independent claims 1 and 19 recite, among other limitations:

Page 2 of 6

PAGE 2/7 * RCVD AT 4/6/2007 2:38:12 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:+9549251101 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-12

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER APR 0 6 2007

at least <u>first</u> and <u>second housing parts</u> <u>detachably</u> <u>connected</u> to one another at a transition, [emphasis added by Applicants]

As such, Applicants' claims all require, among other things,

<u>first</u> and <u>second</u> housing parts <u>detachably connected</u> to one

another at a transition.

However, the STOYKO reference, cited against both of

Applicants' independent claims, neither teaches, nor suggests, among other limitations of Applicants' claims, <u>first</u> and <u>second</u> housing parts <u>detachably connected</u> to one another at a transition. More particularly, **STOYKO** discloses bends in a <u>single</u> formed sheet, which bends are compressed together to form an electrically conductive joint which is neither <u>detachable</u> at a transition, nor <u>made of a first and second</u> <u>housing part</u>, as required by Applicants' claims. See, for example, col. 1 of **STOYKO**, lines 49 - 62 state:

Therefore it is an object of the invention to provide an electrically conductive joint of a sheet of conductive material coated on one surface thereof with an electrically insulating material merely by properly bending the composite sheet material. This is done by providing one end of the sheet with an off-set portion and then bending an end part of the off-set portion into the form of a first depending leg with the insulating material on the outside of the leg. The other end of the sheet is bent into the form of a second depending leg with the insulating material on the inside of the leg. The two depending legs then are juxtaposed and the two ends compressed into the form of a joint wherein electrically conductive surfaces are in face-to-face contact, providing continuity of

Page 3 of 6

electrical conductivity. [emphasis added by Applicants]

As such, contrary to Applicants' claimed invention, STOYKO discloses an electrically conductive joint and a method for forming a hollow housing out of a single sheet of steel plated metal. A magnetic shielding for electrical components should be achieved by that housing. The housing disclosed by STOYKO is made out of one steel plate, wherein the end parts of the single steel plate are bent and compressed together into the form of a joint wherein electrically conductive surfaces are in face-to-face contact providing continuity of electrical conductivity. This can additionally be seen from col. 2 of STOYKO, lines 40 - 44, which state:

In FIG. 6, a hollow housing denoted generally by the numeral 14 is formed with ends 1 and 9 of the sheet material connected with a formed joint 16, as above-described. Such a housing is useful for surrounding and magnetically shielding an electrical apparatus. [emphasis added by Applicants]

As such, contrary to Applicants' claimed invention which recites at least <u>first</u> and <u>second</u> housing parts <u>detachably</u> connected to one another at a transition, the STOYKO reference discloses a <u>single sheet of metal</u> wherein bends formed in the <u>ends 1 and 9</u> of the single sheet are collapsed and compressed to form a joint. Thus, the <u>ends 1 and 9</u> of the single sheet of material of STOYKO, are <u>not first and second housing</u> <u>portions</u>, as alleged on page 2 of the Office Action, nor are

Page 4 of 6

PAGE 4/7 * RCVD AT 4/6/2007 2:38:12 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:+9549251101 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-12

the bent "ends" 1 and 9 of STOYRO detachably connected to one another at a transition, as required by Applicants' claims.

The MCMILLER and KAISERWERTH references, cited in the Office Action in combination with STOYKO against certain of Applicants' dependent claims, do not cure the above-discussed deficiencies of STOYKO. As such, Applicants' claims are believed to be patentable over STOYKO, MCMILLER and

KAISERWERTH, whether taken alone, or in combination.

It is accordingly believed that none of the references, whether taken alone or in any combination, teach or suggest the features of claims 1 and 19. Claims 1 and 19 are, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art. The dependent claims are believed to be patentable as well because

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1 - 22 are solicited.

they all are ultimately dependent on claims 1 or 19.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel would appreciate receiving a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out. In the alternative, the entry of the amendment is requested, as it is believed to place the application in

Page 5 of 6

PAGE 5/7 * RCVD AT 4/6/2007 2:38:12 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:+9549251101 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-12

better condition for appeal, without requiring extension of the field of search.

Additionally, please consider the present as a petition for a one (1) month extension of time, and please provide a one (1) month extension of time, to and including, April 6, 2007 to respond to the present Office Action.

The extension fee for response within a period of one (1)
month pursuant to Section 1.136(a) in the amount of \$120.00 in
accordance with Section 1.17 is enclosed herewith.

Please provide any additional extensions of time that may be necessary and charge any other fees that might be due with respect to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

For Applicants

April 6, 2007

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101

Page 6 of 6

Kerry P. Sisselman Reg. No. 37,237